



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<u>British Journal of Economics, Management & Trade</u>
Manuscript Number:	2014_BJEMT_10378
Title of the Manuscript:	RISK AND DEVELOPMENT IN DEVELOPING COUNTRIES
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	The statistical model proposed by the author/s seems to be as the model used by the author Minh Quang Dao in his work <i>Security and development in developing countries</i> (can be found at the link http://file.scirp.org/Html/8710.html) and, if the number of countries choose as sample are different, in some ways the results and conclusions are the same. We can found the same explanations of the results in both papers. Par example: lines 205-206-207-208-209-210 are the same as in the paper previous indicated. The paper is indicated as <i>original research article</i>. Also, we can find the same explanations and results in another papers from the same author (http://www.scribd.com/doc/175971761/Population-and-Economic-Growth-in-Developing-Countries; http://www.hindawi.com/journals/ecri/2012/715419/; http://economics.ca/2009/papers/0073.pdf; http://www.hrmars.com/admin/pics/698.pdf). Even if the author is the same (and we discuss about the same paper revised), maybe it is necessary to explain why the model must be applied once for 78 countries (but the results, from numeric point of view, are the same...).	The results are similar but not exactly the same. What's more? Current research is always linked to previous research, hence the need for literature review. And if the results are to be valid, they must stand the test of time as well as new insights into a previous problem or issue, in this case, economic development. Isn't consistency in itself a desirable property in quality research? The fact that the sample varies from study to study has a lot to do with the availability of the data, especially in the case of developing economies. If the results change as samples change, then they will not be considered as robust, as they are sensitive to deliberate choice of countries to be included in the sample.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	-	



SDI Review Form 1.6

<u>Optional/General</u> comments	The paper examines the impact of risk management on economic development in developing countries (are taking into consideration 78 countries, data obtained from World Bank reports). The author uses the reports and data of World Bank in order to help the governments to identify strategies on risk management as an economical tool. On 22 of April I send the review form for the paper indicated. My response comes after the email. Concerning this paper I receive more emails from editors. I saw the comments of the author about what he/she believe that the plagiarism is. If this is also the point of view of the journal, then the decision is not mine.	Is there a comment here?
---	---	--------------------------