



SDI FINAL EVALUATION FORM 1.1

PART 1:

Journal Name:	British Journal of Economics, Management & Trade
Manuscript Number:	2014_BJEMT_10135
Title of the Manuscript:	Unforeseen Challenges Facing Entrepreneurs in the UAE

PART 2:

FINAL EVALUATOR'S comments on revised paper (if any)	Authors' response to final evaluator's comments
In the Table 1 is picture as and before. For publication the author should understand the difference between these two positions. Section with an overview of the literature simply must be reducing, but not exclude. Fission in the article need to improve and lead to conform to accepted samples. Author's desire mark the exclusiveness by his article is ungrounded.	• I don't know if I got you right Table 1: <i>Illustrates the respondent's position and the types of industry (N= 50)</i> • The section of the literature review can't be reduced simply because this section explains all unexpected challenges with the total number of 10. It defines the critical points of current knowledge of these issues. • The article design modified to meet the journal requirements as stated in the author guidelines • This article is original and I'm the writer and I don't know what you mean by undergrounded!!