



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	British Journal of Economics, Management & Trade
Manuscript Number:	2013_BJEMT_6167
Title of the Manuscript:	MEASURE AND DETERMINANTS OF BANKS' TRANSPARENCY IN EMERGING MARKETS
Type of the Article	Research Paper

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	1).It is recommended to correct the grammar errors relating to the names of the countries cited in the abstract (for example, turkey); 2).Introduction should be shortened; 3). It is not visible to which theory the hypothesis of the research should be connected; 4). Conclusion should include Findings; 5). It is also needed technical editing .Namely, in one part of the text the authors used Times New Roman 11 and in other Arial 11. 6) Some parts are not enough clear and well connected. 7) In the paper were not match cited all literature sources with the list of references at the end of the text (For example, Lakhali is not in the references list)	1. This error is corrected until version 2 of paper 2. OK, now the introduction represents approximately 1 page 3. The hypotheses are extracted for the previous studies 4. All Findings are added until version 3 5. Ok, All text is written with arial 6. We have added some sentences between sections. 7. Ok (for example Lakhali is the reference 54)