



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<u>British Journal of Economics, Management & Trade</u>
Manuscript Number:	2014_BJEMT_9545
Title of the Manuscript:	Should Water Resources be Managed as a Public or as a Private, Economic Good – the Croatian Dilemma
Type of the Article	Short Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Please use online language check service to improve the language or have the MS read by native speaker. please see review report.	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	There are some missing references. please see full review report to identify minor comments	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	The issue of water privatization is a hot issue nowadays. This article adds some insights to the discussion and especially for the Croatian model. If major review is conducted the article will appear more attractive and with scientific contribution. There are some strong claims such as about Coca Cola company and International Monetary Fund, and World Bank. These arguments might not be suitable for a scientific article. They shall be rephrased or diluted to avoid future conflicts.	

Note: Anonymous Reviewer