SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	**British Journal of Economics, Management & Trade**
Manuscript Number:	**2013_BJEMT_7941**
Title of the Manuscript:	**Recruitment and Selection in the Nigerian Public Service: Nature, Challenges and Way Forward**
Type of the Article	**Review Article**

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	The author might be willing to expand all the theoretical implications of the findings of his/her research and expound them on the basis of a more comprehensive understanding of the historical evolution of public institutions in Nigeria. In particular, the exposition of the details of the Nigerian public administration could be perhaps connected more explicitly with the social and political configuration in this country, as well as with the historical trajectory of public institutions therein.	
Optional/General comments	The paper is very interesting and provides an understanding and descriptive analysis of the Nigerian public service, as far as recruitment and selection are concerned.	

Note: Anonymous Reviewer