



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	British Journal of Economics, Management & Trade
Manuscript Number:	2013_BJEMT_7713
Title of the Manuscript:	Illusory, conditional and actual indicators in economic management
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	The paper contains major mistakes regarding the use of English: Line 9 – “These triads <i>have had forming of...</i>” Lines 10-12: “Examples of these triads have had complexes associated with <i>conflictiness and communicability employees of firms.</i>” Line 19 – “These orientations<i>s...</i>” Lines 21-23 – “<i>...what often making them difficult to defined. For correct operation of the nature and essence of the parameters must be identified authentically, but this raises some difficulties.</i>” Lines 40-42 – “<i>...- is the leading indicators, coincident indicators and lagging indicators. In leading indicators include the average number of hours spent on the production...</i>” Lines 48-49 – “<i>...There are other classifications<i>s.</i></i>” Lines 51-52 – “<i>...are divided into two large populations<i>s...</i></i>” Lines 73-74 – “<i>This article is devoted mainly study the characteristics of indexes of illusory, since it is their use encounters with the greatest difficulties.</i>” Lines 79-80 – “<i>Illusory indicators in this sense, the most dubious, as them determined of the opinions of people.</i>” Line 86 – “<i>...the triad which described by the three-dimensional response surface.</i>” Lines 86-87 – “<i>In the study was conducted rapid survey and a survey using a special questionnaire...</i>” Lines 102-103 – “<i>...the level which is the significant for all levels of significance...</i>” Lines 107-108 – “<i>..., this means that of the constant is not done a multiplication of three indicators, ...</i>” Lines 119-120 – “<i>...the significance of their work for the organization and conflictiness's in the course of such activities...</i>” Line 122 – “<i>This constant is, in fact, shows the relative increase in ...</i>” Lines 124-125 – “<i>...was done the chronometry of the daily activities of office workers five companies, which...</i>” Line 127 – “<i>...which is of much lower than in pairs</i>” Line 129 – “<i>..., but did not introduces ...</i>” Lines 146-147 – “<i>...but a comparison of these opinions hampered by the lack of common points on which can rely.</i>” Line 148 – “<i>This triad or complex is also found and into communicability.</i>” Lines 152-153 – “<i>Namely, zeroing some the parameters, can make invalidates their definitions and use...</i>”	



SDI Review Form 1.6

	Lines 153-154 – “Figure 3 shows the <i>dependence</i> of the total index <i>from</i> some number of determinations...” Lines 154-155 – “...that, although <i>such explicit results as in Figure 1 there is not</i>, but...” Lines 160-161 – “Thus, <i>it may be formulated another rule</i>.” Lines 173-175 – “Knowledge of the presence of triads or conglomerates illusory factors and their combination with conditional and current factors <i>allow more consciously manage</i> organizations.” Word confusion: Lines 67-68 – “... that <i>a peoples</i> can be measured directly...” Lines 78-79 – “... <i>in them by the part of</i> managers...” Agreement between subject and predicate Lines 46-47 – “..., and <i>so are a lagging</i> indicator of...” Line 148 – “This <i>triad include</i> communicability,...” Word missing: Line 106 – “...results of the survey <i>a large</i> number of respondents,...”	
Minor REVISION comments	There should be some changes made in terms of spacing: Line 9 – “other.These...” Line 65 – “groups.Illusory...” Line 68 – “directly.For convenience...” Line 78 – “ways.Confidence ...” Line 80 – “people.The study...” Line 99 – “value.However,...” Line 111 – “ThenPqkn = Bi...2 Line 112 – “ThenPqkn = Bi...” Line 129 – “...changes.It is...” Line 149 – “...conversance.There ...” Line 153 – “...use.Figure 3...” Also, spacing should be reconsidered in the References section.	
Optional/General comments	Significant amount of changes should be made before publication in terms of English usage.	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Anamaria-Mirabela Pop
Department, University & Country	International Business Department, University of Oradea, Romania