



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<u>British Journal of Economics, Management & Trade</u>
Manuscript Number:	2014_BJEMT_13133
Title of the Manuscript:	Exchange Rate and Economic Growth Nexus: Evidence from Bangladesh
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Exchange rate and its influence on the economic growth have been widely tested in the literature before. The Authors have chosen to test the exchange rate impact on the growth of the particular economic system.. The research objectives have been properly introduced in the first part of the text. I like very much the literature review in the forthcoming part of the paper. Data stream for the study has been chosen correctly. The model seems to be reasonable choice in this kind of research. The motions from the study are clear and result from research algorithm assumed in the paper. What is worth stressing authors have also formulated the "policy implications" which makes the article more practical.	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	In the abstract authors used personification of the paper. The paper cannot take a look, I suggest rephrasing it to 'In the paper a close look at...' (line 7).	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	The form of the manuscript is correct, authors have done much effort to make literature study and apart from conclusion they have also formulated some practical motions in points.	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Marcin Jedrzejczyk
Department, University & Country	Accounting Department, Cracow University of Economics, Poland