



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	British Journal of Economics, Management & Trade
Manuscript Number:	2014_BJEMT_12687
Title of the Manuscript:	FDI and Efficiency convergence, the case of Vietnamese Manufacturing industry
Type of the Article	Case Study

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	1. The introduction is too general and instead not elaborating the importance of manufacturing industry to the Vietnamese economic growth. Therefore, resulted to the improper indication for problem statement. 2. The measurement items are not clearly address in the literature review and the flow between paragraphs shows lack of continuity.	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	1. No clear boundaries detailing the differences between horizontal and vertical dimensions in the literature review. 2 The importance for each table and figure is not Clearly emphasized.	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	Author should more clearly identify the main idea, which he receives as a result of research	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Nurulizwa bte Abdul Rashid
Department, University & Country	Faculty of technology and Technopreneurship, UTeM &Malaysia