



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	British Journal of Economics, Management & Trade
Manuscript Number:	2013_BJEMT_8830
Title of the Manuscript:	Credit Market Development and Investment Efficiency in Nigeria
Type of the Article	Review Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	The paper resorts to standard econometric techniques to address the relationship between credit market development and investment efficiency in Nigeria. The main result is that there is an inverse and significant relation between investment efficiency and the interest rate, what allows establishing a connection between investment efficiency and openness to credit markets. The paper is well written, well structured, correct from the technical point of view and delivers results that are relevant. In my opinion, the paper should be accepted for publication.	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	I have only one suggestion: the abstract is too long; it can be reduced to half of its dimension and still deliver relevant information on the contents of the paper	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Orlando Gomes
Department, University & Country	ISCAL – Lisbon Polytechnic Institute, Portugal