



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	British Journal of Economics, Management & Trade
Manuscript Number:	2013_BJEMT_5634
Title of the Manuscript:	AID-GROWTH NEXUS DEBATES IN SELECTED AFRICAN COUNTRIES: A METHODOLOGICAL EXPOSITION
Type of the Article	Research Paper

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	L23-remove "is the first of its kind". Change apply to applies. L34-...and works "mention a few authors here" L164 – 165- inconsistent citation style. Refer to BJEMT style /format for referencing. L258 – 253 Should not be repeated since already in L75-78 L316- remove " is available" replace with "seen by the authors" L363 "estimate" not "estimates" L312 – 316 and L329 – 330. Number of countries in your sample is conflicting.	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Vincent Ezeabasili
Department, University & Country	Department of Banking and Finance, Anambra State University, Nigeria.