**SDI Review Form 1.6**

| | |
|---|---|
| Journal Name: | **British Journal of Economics, Management & Trade** |
| Manuscript Number: | **2014_BJEMT_12156** |
| Title of the Manuscript: | **Impact of Customs Regulation in the Efficiency on International Trade** |
| Type of the Article | |

## General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

## SDI Review Form 1.6

**PART 1: Review Comments**

| | **Reviewer's comment** | **Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)* |
|---|---|---|
| **Compulsory** REVISION comments | The last six lines (lines 15-20 "The abstract should be concise .....at first use") of abstract should be deleted. I think it is the guidelines for authors. | |
| **Minor** REVISION comments | | |
| **Optional/General** comments | This paper explores impact of customs regulation in the efficiency on international trade. Basically, article structure and contribution of academic are good. It is qualified to be published in this journal. However, I have the following suggestions:<br>1. It would be better that keep the font and size of math formulas in consistency (L125, L151, and L170).<br>2. The number of subsection "1.1 (L193)" should be 3.1.<br>3. Section three could be more concise. Stating and illustrating the used model is enough since there are many publications have been discussed the DEA approach very detailed. | |

**Note: Anonymous Reviewer**