SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	**British Journal of Economics, Management & Trade**
Manuscript Number:	**2014_BJEMT_9136**
Title of the Manuscript:	**PERFORMANCEAND VISITOR'S SATISFACTION OF RECREATION FACILITIES IN AKURE METROPOLIS: A VERITABLE TOOL FOR IMPACTS STUDIES IN UNDP MDG'S CITIES IN NIGERIA.**
Type of the Article	**Original Research Article**

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty'**, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments	**Title: PERFORMANCEAND VISITOR'S SATISFACTION OF RECREATION FACILITIES IN AKURE METROPOLIS: A VERITABLE TOOL FOR IMPACTS STUDIES IN UNDP MDG'S CITIES IN NIGERIA** Article is interesting to warrant publication. It also adheres to the journal's standards. The article is clearly laid out. All the key elements are present: abstract, introduction, methodology, results, conclusions. clearly describes the article. • Abstract reflects the content of the article. Authors reported on performance of recreational centers and level of satisfaction derived by participants are considered as6	

	veritable tool to explore the economic and social impacts of recreational activities on the host7 communities in UNDP MDG's cities. Authors research work was undertaken in four of the randomly8 selected recreation centers in Akure Metropolis through multiple research techniques including site observation, personal communication, questionnaire administration and collection of secondary data. The research was undertaken during the weekends over a period of six months between January and11 June 2013. It was observed that greater percentage of the participants (80%, 90%, 100% and 71.4%)12 expressed their willingness to revisit; this is an indication that the satisfaction and purpose of their13 visits have been achieved. Over 50% of the respondents in all the centers also indicated that the14 quality of services being provided was good. None of the staff working in any of the centers received15 less than $1 daily this form part of the target of UNDP MDG to eradicate poverty and hunger by year16 2015.While facilities being enjoyed most by the visitors need to be maintained others need to be17 improved upon and additional ones need to be acquired to boost customer's patronage. Private and18	

	government operators of recreation centers as well as other policy makers in tourism industry will19 benefit tremendously from this research out in their quest to meet the economic and social needs of20 their communities. The paper presents that the research was undertaken during the weekends over a period of six months between January and June 2013. It was observed that greater percentage of the participants (80%, 90%, 100% and 71.4%) expressed their willingness to revisit; this is an indication that the satisfaction and purpose of their visits have been achieved. Over 50% of the respondents in all the centers also indicated that the quality of services being provided was good. None of the staff working in any of the centers received15 less than $1 daily this form part of the target of UNDP MDG to eradicate poverty and hunger by year 2015.While facilities being enjoyed most by the visitors need to be maintained others need to be improved upon and additional ones need to be acquired to boost customer's patronage. Private and government operators of recreation centers as well as other policy	

	makers in tourism industry will benefit tremendously from this research out in their quest to meet the economic and social needs of their communities. • Introduction describes what the author hoped to achieve accurately and clearly state the problem being investigated. The introduction summarizes relevant research to provide context, and explains what other authors' findings are being challenged. They described the general experimental method. • Method. The methods they are explained in detail. The sampling was appropriate. The equipment and materials been adequately described. The article makes it clear what type of data was recorded; the author has been precise in describing measurements. • Results. The authors explain in words what discovered in the research. It is clearly laid out and in a logical sequence. The appropriate analysis has been	

	conducted. • Conclusion/Discussion. The claims in this section are supported by the results. Akure Metroploisis endowed with natural and man- made recreational resources that are of social and economic importance to the host communities. It is one of the UNDP MDGs Cities in Nigeria with necessary potential to realize if not all but one and the foremost goal of eradicating poverty and hunger by year 2015. This research output will serve as blueprint and developmental road towards realizing this objective. Finally, on balance, the figures and tables inform the reader and they are an important part of the story. The figures describe the data accurately. They are consistent. Previous Research. The references are accurate.	

Note: Anonymous Reviewer