



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	British Journal of Economics, Management & Trade
Manuscript Number:	2014_BJEMT_9516
Title of the Manuscript:	Assessment The Impact of Zakat on Aggregate Consumption and Poverty: Evidence from Egypt
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	• Abstract: Should be written in a comprehensive way. A good abstract consists of introduction, objective(s), methodology and finding(s) of the study. • Introduction: Rewrite! First paragraph is written too long. Some facts need clarification. (eg: poverty line of two dollars per day- what is the base of this assumption?) • LR: Should be revised- some studies were not discussed at all, like Kahf (1989), Febianto et al. (2011), Yaumidin (2009) and Ahmad (2004). • The conventional part should be written off as it has nothing to do with the study. • Part 6 (Page 18): The author should provide enough information on how the calculation was made. Results given are not clearly discussed. • Concluding remarks: (1) There is unfinished work shown by the author (Line 565 page 21); (2) Need policy implication of the study. • References: (1) Some references are not found in the reference list (eg.: Human Development Report (2010), Kahf (1989) etc. (2) Please check the reference put in the reference list, some are not well-written.	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

Note: Anonymous Reviewer