



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	British Journal of Economics, Management & Trade
Manuscript Number:	2013_BJEMT_8848
Title of the Manuscript:	Steadiness and mobility of trade patterns in the Baltic States
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	Comments per Section of Manuscript: Methodology: The methodology and applications are sufficient and the purpose of the study is well-defined. Results: The findings are discussed according to the purpose. Discussion: The manuscript is acceptable for publication in its current structure. Bibliography/References: The references are related to the subject and sufficient. Others: The abstract includes the purpose, methodology and the results and the language and expression in the manuscript is sufficient. Decision: The manuscript is acceptable for publication in its current structure. The manuscript has sufficient technical merit to warrant publication.	

Note: Anonymous Reviewer