



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	British Journal of Economics, Management & Trade
Manuscript Number:	2014_BJEMT_10588
Title of the Manuscript:	Saving-Investment Gap and Economic Growth in Developing Countries: Simulated Evidence from Selected Countries in Africa
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	In my opinion the paper, even if it is not a novelty in this field, presents sufficient interest by analyzing the impacts of persistent savings-investment gaps on economic growth using a sample of five developing countries in Africa. I think that this paper merits publication in your journal.	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Constantin Chilarescu
Department, University & Country	CLERSE DEPARTMENT, Lille1 University, France