



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	British Journal of Economics, Management & Trade
Manuscript Number:	2014_BJEMT_11091
Title of the Manuscript:	Corruption, Institutional Quality And Economic Performance In An Emerging Economy: Lessons From Nigeria
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	The article should be brought into line with the adopted structure. In particular, there should be added sections "Discussion" and "Conclusion". Other sections should also be properly marked. Now the meaning in sections is confused and distorted. Article written unclear, it is desirable to clarify the text to make it more understandable	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	The author gives a picture in a generalized form, although specific data he has. It is desirable to modify the drawing based on the known data	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	It is desirable justify the need of the selection of statistical methods applied by the author	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Sergey A. Surkov
Department, University & Country	International Institute of Management LINK .The Russian Federation, Russia