



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<u>British Journal of Economics, Management & Trade</u>
Manuscript Number:	2013_BJEMT_6799
Title of the Manuscript:	Investigating the Effect of Auditor Partner Rotation and Auditor Size and Tenure on Investors Expected Rate of Return
Type of the Article	Case Study

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	The author needs to discuss the roles of internal auditor in assisting the external auditor's assessment.	
Minor REVISION comments	The author must give brief explanation on CAPM and differentiate it from Gordon Model.	
Optional/General comments	The paper is good for publication.	

Note: Anonymous Reviewer