



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<u>British Journal of Economics, Management & Trade</u>
Manuscript Number:	2014_BJEMT_11450
Title of the Manuscript:	Motivations to Participate in International Trade Fairs: The Portuguese Experience
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	1: In line 389 by considering the comments, please revise the conclusion of the study. 2: Please correct the spelling of the words and phrases in different lines such as....18, 25, 28, ...and 404 3. Introduction (Line 12), from line 14 to end of the introduction (line 205) it has more than 50% plagiarism from internet reference: Participate (or not) in International Trade Fairs? Decision Factors of Portuguese Managers José de Freitas SANTOS, Pedro Bruno Mendonça da SILVA Review of International Comparative Management, Volume 14, Issue 5, December 2013, Hence, Please solve this problem.	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	Every introduction is better to have three paragraphs, The first paragraph: Topic of paper Middle paragraphs: Literature Review Final paragraph: Justification & Road map, thus you need to reorganize the introduction of the study by considering the three paragraphs.	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

Note: Anonymous Reviewer