



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	British Journal of Economics, Management & Trade
Manuscript Number:	2014_BJEMT_14116
Title of the Manuscript:	India's Export Horticulture Markets in Multispeed World Economies: Trends of Exports, Prices, Elasticities and Policies
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	The methodology is unsound. Author just complied and transformed the data that was already collected. Virtually no analysis of such data in any way.	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	Many abbreviations used without full at first. Some quoted secondary data are needed for a citation.	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	It's better if, in this paper, the author choose between Rs. or USD.	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Anonymous
Department, University & Country	Rangsit University, Thailand