



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<u>British Journal of Economics, Management & Trade</u>
Manuscript Number:	2013_BJEMT_7600
Title of the Manuscript:	ASSESSING THE RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN REGULATORY FRAMEWORK AND FORENSIC ACCOUNTING, THE NIGERIAN EXPERIENCE
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	- The author provides a clear and an understandable statement of the problem. - The information of the paper is available to the reader with a minimum of effort. - Materials and methods are complete enough - Results are clear - Bibliography is relevant for the subject - Overall, the study is very interesting and it could make a contribution to the literature.	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	-	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	-	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Nicoleta Radneantu
Department, University & Country	Accounting & Finance Department, Romanian-American University, Romania