



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	British Journal of Economics, Management & Trade
Manuscript Number:	2013_BJEMT_8687
Title of the Manuscript:	Enhanced Index Tracking-An Extension of Elton-Gruber 1976 Model
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	None	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	Comments/Suggestions for improvement are as in the reviewed copy of the paper. Overall, consistencies of the presentation of the formulae need to be improved.	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	None. The contents of this paper have been discussed in a well and clearly structured order, which enable readers to understand the overall topic without difficulty.	

Note: Anonymous Reviewer