



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<u>British Journal of Economics, Management & Trade</u>
Manuscript Number:	2014_BJEMT_10735
Title of the Manuscript:	IMPACT OF TECHNICAL AND FUNDAMENTAL ANALYSIS IN THE GLOBAL FOREIGN EXCHANGE MARKET
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	The paper describes some technical analysis indicators without testing their performance. I suggest use some indicators of technical and fundamental analysis and then compare their performance by using measures of risk and return. For example average profit per trade, the ratio Number of profitable trades / Number of non-profitable trades. Avoid Print outs of the computer. Make tables that compare results for different indicators or strategies. You can also make a combined strategy by technical and fundamental indicators and test its performance.	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	The writing style is not good. The paper should be rewritten in many parts. It is not easy for reader to follow the text. English should be looked by a proof reading company. See for instance lines 6,9,17,32 and others. It is not clear what the paper tries to show, it seems like it describes the software in some parts.	

Note: Anonymous Reviewer