



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<u>British Journal of Economics, Management & Trade</u>
Manuscript Number:	2013_BJEMT_8003
Title of the Manuscript:	The importance of derivatives for the best functioning of the financial markets and the privileged choice of the uninformed traders
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	A scientifically robust and technically sound paper. However, tenses must be reconstructed to clearly bring out the intended message. Conclusion should come with recommendations or a separate paragraph be dedicated to recommendations All the appropriate words in the Reference section should begin with upper case letters.	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	To give the work a sharp and clearer frame, I suggest the title be changed to: "Financial Markets and the Privileged Choice of the Uninformed Traders: The Role of Derivatives".	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	If the author(s) will overhaul the grammatical expressions, the work can be a masterpiece.	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Wurim, Ben Pam
Department, University & Country	Plateau State University, Nigeria.