SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	**British Journal of Economics, Management & Trade**
Manuscript Number:	**2014_BJEMT_10569**
Title of the Manuscript:	**The Relationship between Electricity Consumption and Economic Growth in Five Developing Countries**
Type of the Article	**Short Research Article**

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments	The author(s) rationale for the study is not well spelt out. The study is not well focused on the relationship between electricity and growth. The author(s)' are encourage to let someone do some proofread to help improve the sentence construction. A good synthesis of ideas may help readers get what the author(s) want to do	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

Note: Anonymous Reviewer