

-----Original Message-----

From: XXX YYY<xxx@yyy.zzz>

Sent: Fri, May 9, 2014 at 3:47 AM

To: Managing Editor

Subject: RE: Request for evaluation of revised paper, reference number:
2014_BJEMT_10378

I am still of the opinion that the paper needs to tell a clear story in terms of the elements which should be discussed; even if economic development is covered in “standard economic literature”, some mention has to be made about it in the current manuscript (especially in terms of the countries' data that were analysed). The whole point is to make research understandable in terms of telling a story to any reader, be it that he/she is an experienced or inexperienced reader.

Albeit the above, the reviewed manuscript makes is much clearer that this study is purely analytical in nature; meaning that there will not be a formal research design as prescribed by Collis and Hussey (2009). There should however be an ethical considerations part that makes mention that nowhere throughout the study did the author manipulate raw data to such an extent that it was "fitted" in a bias way to support a certain view (just mentioning something that the study's findings were objective and stemmed directly from the data used in the World Bank report).

There are also minor spacing issues (formatting) on the newly revised manuscript which will most probably be tended to by the Editor in Chief prior to publication.

Apart from these point, the reviewer is satisfied that the paper meets the standard(s) of the British Journal of Economics, Management and Trade.

Reviewer's Details:

Juan-Pierré Bruwer

Cost and Management Accounting, Cape Peninsula University of Technology, South Africa

Note: Modification was done in this document ONLY to hide the email id.