

**The effects of Total Quality Management and Market Orientation on Business
Performance of Small and Medium Enterprises in Pakistan**

Abstract

The small and medium enterprises (SMEs) play a significant role in the economic development and progress of the country. There are number of factors that contribute to the success of SMEs. This study investigates the effects of total quality management, market orientation on business performance of small and medium enterprises in Pakistan. Target population of the study was SMEs operating in different sectors i.e. manufacturing, service and retailers and traders. Sample size of this study was 183 SMEs operating in Rawalpindi/Islamabad. Questionnaire protocol was used to collect the data. This study adds to the literature by proposing the model to examine the relationships between TQM, MO and business performance of SMEs in Pakistan. The study concludes that both TQM and MO significantly contribute for higher business performance of SMEs.

Key words: *Total quality management, Market orientation, Business performance, SMEs*

1. Introduction

The Small and Medium Enterprise (SME) sector is considered the economic backbone of a country. SMEs have the ability to generate employment with minimum cost. Due to their flexible nature, they have the ability to adapt to customer's needs better than larger firms (Brock & Evans, 1986; Acs & Audretsch, 1990). Consistent with the above argument, Ghobadian and Gallea (1996) also emphasize that SMEs play a vital role in the economic growth and industrial development of a country. 90% of the world's firms are classified as SMEs (Udayasankar, 2008). They contribute significantly to the national economy by providing substantial employment, increasing production and introducing innovation (Jeppesen, 2005).

The economy of Pakistan is composed mainly of SMEs. Approximately 3.2 million enterprises are considered as SMEs in Pakistan. According to Small and Medium Enterprise Development Authority (SMEDA's) definition, SME is defined as an enterprise employing up to 250 employees or having a paid-up capital of up to Rs. 25 million, or annual sales not exceeding Rs. 250 million (SMEDA Policy, 2007). Kureshi, Mann, Khan and Qureshi (2009) suggest the existence of a positive correlation between the health of the SME sector and overall strength and growth of the economy of a country. They observe that SME sector's performance in Pakistan has been deteriorating consistently. It is one of the main reasons for the poor performance of the country's economy. According to Khattak, Arslan and Umair (2011) it is essential to implement concrete measures for the improvement of SMEs in Pakistan in order to achieve substantial growth of the economy.

Study of extant literature shows that the adoption of quality practices by SMEs has been minimal (Elmati & Kathawala, 1999). In addition to badly affecting the production of SMEs, it affects the

performance of large businesses adversely since the latter outsource a significant part of their production to small businesses (Kureshi *et al.*, 2009). In order to be competitive and to survive successfully in contemporary economic environment, firms must rapidly adapt to changes in the marketplace (Agus, 2000; Chong, 2007).

TQM is a business strategy to involve individuals at all levels and functions of an organization to meet customer needs and expectations and enhance the enterprise performance (Pfau, 1989). According to Grandzol and Gershon (1997) TQM is a holistic approach to run the organization to create competitive advantage. In a similar vein, Summers (2006) argued that improving product quality translates to a decrease in cost of product, fewer number of manufacturing mistakes, reduced delays in production and more efficient use of resources. TQM primarily focuses on the concept of continuous improvement in the operation of an enterprise. It is carried out in a consistent, integrated and systematic way by involving everyone and everything in the organization so as to achieve satisfaction of both internal and external customers (Dahlgaard, Kristensen & Kanji, 1998).

According to Temtime (2003); Das *et al.*, (2008); Khanna *et al.*, (2010) and Al-Swidi and Mahmood (2012) research on TQM was previously carried out only in industrialized countries such as USA, Japan and some European countries. The demand for quality products is no longer limited to customers in the developed world. They have pointed out that globalization of world trade has resulted in escalation in demand for quality products and services by the customers in developing countries as well. Hence, the latter have also started to focus on improvements in product quality. Now researchers have started studying TQM in developing countries (Thiagaragan *et al.*, 2001). Several researchers such as Fening *et al.*, (2008); Bayati and Taghavi (2007) and Lewis *et al.*, (2006a, 2005) noted that proper implementation of total quality management can help SMEs improve and achieve better performance in a competitive marketplace.

Studies of extant literature reveal that no single strategy can extract the best performance from SMEs. TQM alone may not improve its performance in an evolving marketplace. Studies have pointed out some additional determinants that impact SME growth. Pinho (2008) emphasized in a study that TQM is not the sole criterion that leads to improvement in the performance of a firm. There are additional complex relationships that need to be addressed and there are other constructs that need to be integrated as major antecedents of SME performance. Market orientation (MO) is one such determinant whose involvement as a strategic orientation affects the competitiveness of SMEs (North & Smallbone, 2000). Hence, if TQM is implemented coupled with MO strategy, it may yield better business performance. North and Smallbone (2000) argued that MO is the strategy adopted by SMEs in order to look for customers and seek new ways to position and promote their products and services. MO plays a vital role in development of SMEs and preserving their high growth rate (Jasra *et al.*, 2011). MO has been examined by several researchers from different aspects. Hunt and Morgan (1995) classify MO as a resource. Shapiro (1988) considers it to be a decision-making instrument, while Kohli and Jaworski (1990) describe MO as a set of actions and behavior of the SME. Day (1994); Deshpande *et al.*, (1993) and Narver and Slater (1990) delineated MO, as organization culture variable. Similarly, some other researchers such as Narver, Slater and MacLachlan (2004) define MO as a culture which creates behaviors that are necessary for the generation of superior values which are required to create competitive advantage and improved business performance.

87 Researchers and organizations worldwide have increasingly investigated the impact of MO on a
88 firm's performance. It is considered to be the most significant factor for creating competitive
89 advantage in a firm. Several empirical studies such as those by Lafferty and Hult (2001); Kumar
90 Venkatesan and Leone (2011) and Deniz Eris *et al.*, (2012) support the view that MO has a
91 significant effect on a firm's performance. MO focuses more on customer retention than on
92 acquisition (Kumar *et al.*, 2011). Studies indicate that market orientation and TQM are
93 complimentary business philosophies in a competitive marketing environment (Mohr-Jackson,
94 1998). Both MO and TQM focus on customer satisfaction (Wang, Chen & Chen, 2012).

95 TQM practices operate from within the organization whereas MO tends to be externally oriented
96 (Demirbag *et al.*, 2006). All these criteria lead to the same objective i.e. customer satisfaction.
97 By using the theoretical lens of Resource Based View (RBV) this study has contributed to the
98 current literature. RBV theory suggests that firms must align their strategies to improve
99 performance.

100 It was expected that these two different management strategies, i.e. TQM and MO when
101 implemented together will enhance the business performance of SMEs. The findings of this
102 study will help the policymakers of Pakistan in particular and will also assist and guide other
103 developing countries in general to devise and implement strategies to enhance SMEs
104 performance.

106 2. Literature Review

107 2.1. Total Quality Management and Business Performance

109 In current competitive business environment quality is considered as a strategic tool to create
110 competitive edge and success for firms (Yong & Wilkenson, 2002). Increased quality results in
111 reduced costs, improved market share and higher profits (Ryan, Deane & Ellington, 2001; Gupta,
112 2004). According to Oakland (2004) organizations of all types and size, either large or small,
113 production or service and public or private cannot afford to ignore the quality initiatives such as
114 total quality management (TQM) for their growth and enhanced performance. TQM is a holistic
115 approach that seeks to integrate all organizational functions to focus on meeting customer needs
116 and organizational objectives (Kumar *et al.*, 1998). TQM has continued to evolve over the past
117 two decades and lot of effort has been done on understanding the TQM practices that lead to
118 superior quality and overall business performance (Feng *et al.*, 2006).

120 According to Sashkin and Kiser (1993) and Talha (2004) TQM emphasis on the entire
121 organization and all its employees, it involves continuous improvement in all processes to
122 provide high quality products and services. Studies by several researchers, such as Flynn *et al.*,
123 (1995); Froza and Flippini (1998); Samson and Terziovski (1999) and Demirbag *et al.*, (2006)
124 have yielded favorable conclusion on the effect of TQM and organization performance results
125 such as waste reduction, fewer process mistakes, financial improvements and overall
126 improvement of the quality of the product or the service. Mann (1992) suggested that TQM is

not only a management tool for producing quality products and services but also a process that leads to increased productivity and a more favorable competitive position. Deming (1986) stated that TQM would generate improved products and services, reduced costs, more satisfied customers and employees and improved financial performance. Research conducted on TQM by different scholars showed that there are lot of studies done about TQM implementation in the perspective of large firms but not enough attention and consideration has been given to implementation of TQM in SMEs (Rahman, 2001; Petroni, 2002; Seth & Tripathi, 2005; Islam *et al.*, 2011).

SMEs play a very crucial role to the economies of most emerging nations from the viewpoint of generating employment and economic growth. SMEs account for more than half of the employment and added value in most countries. Some of the previous researchers in their literature though explained the successful utilization of Total Quality Management but have not given forth the actual realities in adopting quality initiatives faced by SMEs businesses. Despite of the benefits of TQM adoption, study of the past literature showed that SMEs due to unnecessary managerial involvement are less comfortable with the formal approaches of TQM, therefore slow in adopting quality initiatives (McTeer & Dale, 1994; Yusof & Aspinwall, 1999). Temtime and Solomon (2002) based on their study conducted on 52 SMEs in Ethiopia identified that due to lack of resources, business planning practices and unclear vision create main obstacles in TQM adoption by SMEs. Lee (2004) carried out an empirical research on 112 SMEs of China, identified many obstacles in TQM implementation such as the lack of resources, lack of knowledge and ineffective quality training and poor employee involvement.

However, empirical evidences supported an important association amid the adoption of TQM and improved firm performance (Shetty, 1993; Reed, Lemak & Satish, 1997; Easton & Jarrell, 1998; Samson & Terziovski, 1999). Reed *et al.*, (2000) suggested that SMEs know quality management is the main factor for gaining sustainable competitive advantage. From the above discussion, it is evident that formal TQM tools and practices are important to contribute to the performance of small medium enterprises. Researchers such as Brah, Serene and Rao (2002) and Powell (1995) pointed out that the firms that adopt TQM have edge over non-TQM firms.

Various researchers such as Demirbag *et al.*, (2006) and Feng, Prajogo, Tan and Sohal (2006) in their studies reported a positive relationship between TQM and performance. In a similar vein, Pinho (2008) carried out an empirical study on 135 Portuguese SMEs and concluded that there is direct relationship between TQM practices and firm performance. On the contrary, Harari (1993) and Salegna and Fazel (1995) revealed that there is no affect of TQM on various performance measures. Moreover, McCabe and Wilkinson (1998) and Yeung and Chan 1998) stated negative relationship of TQM with firm performance. Based on an in-depth literature review, following hypothesis is formulated,

H1: Total quality management has a significant relationship with business performance.

2.2. Market Orientation and Business Performance

In the view of competitive global economic environment, market orientation (MO) is known as an important business strategy. According to Shapiro (1988) market-orientation refers to generating, disseminating and taking actions in response to market intelligence by the participation of variety of departments in the organization. Kohli and Jaworski (1990) described MO, a behavior and actions, whereas Day (1994); Deshpande, Farley and Webster (1993) and Slater and Narver (1995) proposed that MO is organization culture variable. On the other hand Narver and Slater (1990) defined MO as part of a firm's culture that supports required behaviors to create higher value for customers and enhanced business performance. Drucker (1954) argued that organization's operations must be customer oriented. In the same vein, Levitt (1960) emphasized that the customer is the reason for the organization's existence. Market orientation is regarded as a main source of competitive advantage and higher performance. Blankson and Stokes (2002) suggested that there is a relationship between market orientation and business performance. Agarwal *et al.*, (2003) noted that market-oriented firms perform better and achieve higher profits compared to non-market oriented firms.

Researchers generally are supportive on positive outcome of MO on performance. Literature illustrates that MO significantly contributes and rejuvenates growth and success of business performance. Market orientation is meant to attain customer satisfaction and to improve the performance of a firm (Mohr-Jackson, 1998; Gummesson, 1994, 1998; Webster, 1988, 1994; Day, 1994; Kotler, 1977). It is widely contended and highlighted that market orientation is significant to firms and it positively affects the business performance (Aziz & Yassin, 2010). Similarly research conducted by Jaworski and Kohli (1993) and Han, Kim and Srivasta (1998) also revealed a significant link amid MO and performance of a firm. Moreover, empirical studies on MO conducted by Lafferty and Hult (2001); Kumar, Venkatesan and Leone (2011) and Eris and Ozmen (2012) contended that market orientation affects firm performance.

However, most of the earlier studies emphasized on implementation of MO in large organizations. It seems that researchers were ambiguous on the appropriateness of the adoption of the market orientation construct by SMEs (McLarty, 1998; Stokes, 2000). Only recently researchers have begun to conduct studies on the outcome of MO in small and medium enterprises (Blankson, Motwani & Levenburg, 2006; Keskin, 2006). SMEs should be more customer focused, monitor the competitive trends of competitors and respond appropriately to market intelligence in order to survive in the challenging business environment. Erdil *et al.*, (2004) contended that MO allows the firm to become aware of opportunities and discovers customer's current and latent needs and convert this information to develop new and higher value products for customers.

Suliyanto and Rahab (2012) argued that SMEs should implement market orientation in their firms to enhance their performance. SMEs are less formal, less structured, have reduced organizational layers and are closer to customers, hence MO if implemented provides a potential competitive advantage to small and medium over large firms (Keskin, 2006). It is appropriate to say that SMEs are more able to anticipate and respond to customer's needs more quickly and

effectively. Study of extant literature showed inconclusive findings of the effect of MO on performance (Han *et al.*, 1998). Narver and Slater (1990) and Ruekert (1992) noted a positive relationship between MO and business performance. Whereas, Hart and Diamantopoulos (1993) found no association, on the other hand Jaworski and Kohli (1993) solicited mixed results.

H2: Market orientation has a significant relationship with business performance.

2.3 Resource-Based View of the Firm (RBV)

The RBV theory is considered as one of the most phenomenal theoretical perspectives in current strategic management literature (Barney, 1991; Helfat & Raubitschek, 2000; Wernerfelt, 1984). RBV theory provides a comprehensive view of how firms can achieve competitive advantage by utilizing its resources and capabilities (Makadok, 2001; Newbert, 2007; Nasution *et al.*, 2011; Wernerfelt, 1984). Furthermore, it's the ability of a firm to identify, develop, deploy, and preserve particular resources and distinguish these from its rivals and facilitate its success to sustain competitive advantage (Amit & Schoemaker, 1993; Carmeli & Tishler, 2004). Barney (1991) suggested that a firm has to possess the crucial resource and strategic capabilities that are valuable, rare, costly to imitate and non-substitutable. Resources are tangible and intangible assets owned by a firm (Amit & Schoemaker, 1993; Wernerfelt, 1984). Tangible resources are those physical assets such as equipment, facilities, raw materials and equipment within an organization (Carmeli & Tishler, 2004). While, intangible resources are the assets identified as skills, knowledge, know-how, perceptions, product reputation, culture and network (Connor, 2002; Hall, 1992).

2. Research Methodology

This study is a correlational study to assess the relationship amongst the variables. 5-Likert scale was used to measure the responses. Items of survey questionnaire were adopted and modified from well established previous researchers work. There are three variable in this study, which includes business performance, items adopted from Valmohammadi (2011); Yusuf *et al.*, (2007) and Jaworski and Kohli (1993). The items of Total quality management were adopted from Anderson and Sohal (1999); Wang *et al.*, (2012); Kaynak (2003) and Sureshchandar (2001). Market orientation measures, items were adopted from Narver and Slater (1990). All the measurements in this study were assessed as a uni – dimensional constructs. Survey questionnaire was divided into six sections. Section 1 solicited Total Quality Management items, Section 2 addressed Market Orientation items and in Section 3 Business Performance items were mentioned. While section 4 accentuated demographic variables.

A self-administered questionnaire method was utilized to distribute the questionnaires to 300 SMEs operating in Rawalpindi/Islamabad region randomly chosen from the Directory of Rawalpindi chamber of commerce and industries (2013). In this study single informant approach

was used. Unit of analysis was the SME firm as TQM and MO are important strategies which are deeply rooted in firms. Therefore, questionnaire was addressed to either the CEO or Owner of the chosen SMEs. 183 SMEs returned back the filled and complete questionnaires. Total response rate was 61%. SPSS 20 was used for data analysis. Descriptive statistics including percentages and frequencies was used to summarize the data.

2.2 Reliability Analysis

Data collected was coded and entered into SPSS 20 to test the reliability by using alpha scores for each of the construct variables. Cronbach's Alpha measures the internal consistency items in a survey instrument to determine its reliability. Table 1 depicts the test results. It was found that most of the cronbach's alpha values for independent variables lie above 0.7 cut off value. The alpha value of independent variables TQM is 0.81 and MO is 0.78 and of dependent variable business performance is 0.67 which is in acceptable range. George and Mallery (2003) provided the rule of thumb that alpha values of greater than 0.50 were suggested as being satisfactory and acceptable to test for the reliability of constructs. Moreover, Nunnally (1978) suggested that the minimum advisable level of 0.7 would be adequate for the modest reliability of a construct.

Table 1

Variable	No of items	Cronbach alpha
Total Quality Management	20	.81
Market Orientation	15	.78
Business Performance	6	.67

2.3 Regression Analysis

To test the hypotheses of this study multiple regression analysis was employed to examine the effect of predicting variables on criterion variable. Table 2 depicts that value of Adjusted R square is .669. Adjusted R square is coefficient of determination which tells the extent of variance in the dependent variable due to changes in the independent variable. Hence, the value of Adjusted R square 0.669 means 66.9% variance in performance is explained by two independent variables TQM and MO. The value of R correlation coefficient is .820 showing a strong positive relationship amid the variables.

Table 2

Model	R	R Square	Adjusted R Square	Durbin-Watson
-------	---	----------	-------------------	---------------

1	.820	.672	.669	1.792
---	------	------	------	-------

From Table 3 it is inferred that TQM ($\beta=.401$, $t=6.872$, $p=0.00$) and MO ($\beta= .493$, $t= 8.448$, $p=0.00$) are significant with business performance supporting the hypotheses 1 and 2 regarding these two variables. The findings prove that both TQM and MO significantly contribute towards measurement of business performance.

Table 3

Variables	β	t	Sig
Total quality management	.401	6.872	0
Market orientation	.493	8.448	0

3. Conclusion

SMEs significantly contribute to the economic development and growth of a country by poverty alleviation, creating and providing jobs to urban and rural workforce. In today's increasingly globalized economy, it is significant to study the impacts of different strategies on the business performance of SMEs. Managers/Owners of SMEs and policy makers should focus to implement different organization strategies to enhance the business performance of enterprise. Over the past few years, stream of research highlighted the significance of strategic orientations. To grow and sustain profitability in competitive business environment with demanding customers, it is therefore necessary that firms of all sizes and nature are required to acquire different strategic orientations.

The significant positive results of TQM ($\beta=.401$, $t=6.872$, $p=0.00$) supports the previous researchers arguments who have reported that TQM is positively linked with performance of a firm (Demirbag *et al.*, 2006; Feng *et al.*, 2006). Similarly, the significant positive results of MO ($\beta= .493$, $t= 8.448$, $p=0.00$) with business performance are in line with the studies conducted by several researchers such as (Jaworski & Kohli, 1993; Han, Kim & Srivasta, 1998; Aziz & Yassin, 2010). The ever growing competition and shortened life cycle needs firms to stay close to customers and competitors to ensure the profitable survival. The results proffer and support the argument that both TQM and MO generate higher business performance. The results also indicated that it is crucial for SMEs to implement strategies such as TQM and MO, as these would act as supporting system to respond to competitive environment and later can lead to higher performance. This can be done by offering quality products or new enhanced products in the market by utilizing the resources available in the market. This research contributes to the existing literature on positive outcome of TQM, MO and business performance relationship in the context of SMEs of developing country Pakistan.

The findings of this paper suggests that owner/managers should take more dynamic approach towards implementing TQM and MO to prepare their firms to meet future challenges. They

should update and equip themselves with current knowledge, skills and capabilities which could benefit their firm. The sample of this study was specifically confined to Rawalpindi and Islamabad territory so it does not represent the whole SMEs sector of Pakistan. A wider sample from other parts of Pakistan with multiple responses from different individuals and management levels can be used for any future study. The study can also be extended by including moderating variable such as organization culture.

References

- Acs, Z., & Audretsch, D. (1990). The economics of small firms: A European challenge, Kluwer Academic Publishers, Norwall, MA.
- Agarwal, S., Erramilli, M. K., & Dev, C. S. (2003). Market orientation and performance in service firms: role of innovation. *Journal of Service Marketing*, 17(1), 68-82.
- Agus, A. (2000). TQM practices in manufacturing companies in Malaysia: An Exploratory Total Quality Management, 11(8), 104-151.
- Al-Swidi, A. K., & Mahmood, R. (2012). Total quality management, entrepreneurial orientation and organizational performance: The role of organizational culture. *African Journal of Business Management*, 6(13), 4717-4727.
- Amit, R., & Schoemaker, P. J. (1993). Strategic assets and organizational rent. *Strategic Management Journal*, 14, 33-46.
- Anderson, M., & Sohal, A. S. (1999). A study of the relationship between quality management practices and performance in small businesses. *International Journal of quality & Reliability management*, 16(9), 859-877.
- Aziz, N. A., & Yasin, N. M. (2010). How will market orientation and external environment influence the performance among SMEs in agro- food sector in Malaysia. *International Business Research*, 3(3), 154-164.
- Barney, J. B. (1991). Firm resources and sustained competitive advantage. *Journal of management*, 17(1), 99-120.
- Bayati, A. & Taghavi, A. (2007). The impacts of Acquiring ISO 9000 certification on the performance of SMEs in Tehran. *TQM Magazine*, 19(2), 140-149.
- Blankson, C., & Stokes, D. (2002). Marketing practices in the UK small business sector. *Marketing Intelligence & Planning*, 20, 49-61.
- Blankson, C., Motwani, J. G., & Levenburg, N. M. (2006). Understanding the patterns of market orientation among small businesses. *Marketing Intelligence & Planning*, 24(6), 572-590.

- 358 Brah, S.A., Serene T.S.L., & Rao, B. M. (2002). Relationship between TQM and performance
359 of Singapore companies. *International Journal of Quality and Reliability*
360 *Management*, 19(4), 356-379.
- 361
- 362 Brock, W., & Evans, D. (1986). The economics of small business: Their roles and
363 regulations in US Economy, Holmes & Meier Publishers, Teaneck, NJ.
- 364
- 365 Carmeli, A., & Tishler, A. (2004). The relationships between intangible organizational
366 elements and organizational performance. *Strategic management journal*, 25(13), 1257-
367 1278.
- 368 Chong, D., & Druckman, J. N. (2007). Framing public opinion in competitive
369 democracies. *American Political Science Review*, 101(04), 637-655.
- 370
- 371 Connor, T. (2002). The resource-based view of strategy and its value to practising
372 managers. *Strategic Change*, 11(6), 307-316.
- 373 Dahlgaard J. J., Kristensen, K., & Kanji, G. K. (1998). Fundamentals of Total Quality
374 Management – process analysis and improvement. 1st Edition, Chapman and Hall,
375 London.
- 376
- 377 Das, A., Paul, H., & Swierczek, F.W. (2008). Developing and validating total quality
378 management (TQM) constructs in the context of Thailand's manufacturing
379 industry. *International Journal*, 15(1), 52-72.
- 380 Day, G. S. (1994). The capabilities of market-driven organizations. *Journal of Marketing*, 58(4),
381 37-52.
- 382 Deming, W. E. (1986). *Out of crisis*. Cambridge, MA: Massachusetts Institute of
383 Technology Press.
- 384 Demirbag M. T., Tatoglu, E., Tekinus, M., & Zaim, S. (2006). An analysis of the relationship
385 between TQM implementation and organizational performance: Evidence from
386 Turkish SMEs. *Journal of Manufacturing Technology Management*, 17(6), 829-847.
- 387 Deniz Eris, E., Ozmen, T., & Neczan, O. (2012). The effect of market orientation,
388 learning orientation and innovativeness on firm performance: A research from
389 Turkish logistics sector. *International Journal of Economic Sciences and Applied*
390 *Research*, 5(1), 77-108.
- 391
- 392 Deshpande, R., Farley, J. U., Webster & F. E. (1993). Corporate culture, customer
393 orientation, and innovativeness in Japanese firms: A quadrad Analysis. *Journal of*
394 *marketing*, 57(1), 23-37.
- 395
- 396 Drucker, Peter. (1954). The Practice of Management. New York: Harper and Row Publishers.

397 Easton, G., & Jarrell, S. (1998). The effects of total quality management on corporate
398 performance: an empirical investigation. *The Journal of Business*, 71(2), 253-307.

399 Elmati, D., & Kathawala, Y. (1999). Service firms face implementing problems. *Quality*
400 *Progress*, 67-75.

401

402 Erdil, S., Erdil, O., & Keskin, H. (2004). The relationships between market orientation, firm
403 innovativeness and innovation performance. *Journal of Global Business and*
404 *Technology*, 1(1), 1-11.

405 Eris, E. D., & Ozmen, O. N. T. (2012). The effect of market orientation, learning orientation
406 and innovativeness on firm performance: A research from Turkish logistics
407 sector. *International Journal of Economic Sciences and Applied Research*, 5(1), 77-
408 108.

409 Feng, J., Prajogo, D., I., Tan, K. C., & Sohal, A. S. (2006). The impact of TQM practices on
410 performance. *European Journal of Innovation Management*, 9(3), 269.

411 Fening, F. Pesakovic, G. & Amaria, P. (2008). Relationship between quality management
412 practices and the performance of small and medium size enterprises (SMEs) in Ghana.
413 *International Journal Quality Rel Manag*, 25(7), 694-708.

414

415 Flynn, B. B., Schroeder, R. G., & Sakakibara, S. A. (1995). The impact of quality management
416 practices on performance and competitive advantage. *Decision Sciences*, 26(5), 659-
417 691.

418 Forza, C., & Filippin, R. (1998). TQM impact on quality performance and customer
419 satisfaction: A causal model. *International Journal of Production Economics*, 55, 1-20.

420 George, D. & Mallery, P. (2003). SPSS for windows step by step: A sample Guide & reference
421 Boston; Allyn & Bacon.

422

423 Ghobadian, A. & Galleary, D.N. (1996). Total quality management in SMEs. *Omega*
424 *International Journal of Management and Science*, 24(1), 83-106.

425

426 Grandzol, J.R., Gershon, M. (1997). Which TQM practices really matter: an empirical
427 investigation. *Quality Management Journal*, 4(4), 43-59.

428

429 Gummesson, E. (1994). Broadening and specifying relationship marketing. *Asia- Australia*
430 *Marketing Journal*, 2(1), 31-43.

431 Gummesson, E. (1998). Implementation requires a paradigm. *Academy of Marketing*
432 *Science Journal*, 26(3), 242-249.

433 Gupta, P. (2004). Six Sigma Business Scorecard. New York: McGraw-Hill.

434 Hall, R. (1992). The strategic analysis of intangible resources. *Strategic Management*
435 *Journal*, 13, 135-144.

436 Han, J. K., Kim, N., & Srivastava, R. K. (1998). Market orientation and organizational
437 performance: Is innovation a missing link?. *Journal of Marketing*, 62(4), 30-45.

438 Harari, O. (1993). The eleventh reason why TQM doesn't work. *Management Review*, 82, 31-36.

439 Hart, S., & Diamantopoulos, A. (1993). Marketing research activity and company performance:
440 evidence from manufacturing industry. *European Journal of Marketing*, 27(5), 54-72.

441 Helfat, C. E., & Raubitschek, R. (2000). Product sequencing: Co-evolution of knowledge,
442 capabilities, and products. *Strategic Management Journal*, 21, 961-980.

443 Hunt, S. D., & Morgan, R. M. (1995). The comparative advantage theory of competition.
444 *Journal of Marketing*, 59, 1-15.
445

446 Islam, M. A., Khan, M. A., Obaidullah, A. Z. M., & Alam, M. S. (2011). Effect of
447 entrepreneur and firm characteristics on the business success of small and medium
448 enterprises (SMEs) in Bangladesh. *International Journal of Business and*
449 *Management*, 6(3), 289-299.

450 Jasra, J. M., Khan, M. A., Hunjra, A. I., Rehman, R. A. U., & Azam, R. I. (2011). Determinants
451 of business success of small and medium enterprises. *International Journal of Business*
452 *and Social Science*, 2(20), 274-280.

453 Jaworski, B. J., & Kohli, A. K. (1993). Market orientation: Antecedents and consequences.
454 *Journal of Marketing*, 57(3), 53-70.

455 Jeppesen, S. (2005). Enhancing competitiveness and securing equitable development: can
456 small, micro, and medium-sized enterprises (SMEs) do the trick?, *Development in*
457 *Practice*, 15(3/4), 463-474.

458 Kaynak, H. (2003). The relationship between TQM practices and their effects on firm
459 performance. *Journal of Operations Management*, 21(4), 405-435.

460 Keskin, H. (2006). Market orientation, learning orientation and innovation capabilities in
461 SMEs: An extended model. *European Journal of Innovation Management*, 9 (4), 396- 417.

462 Khanna, H. K., Laroia, S. C., & Sharma, D. D. (2010). Quality Management in Indian
463 Manufacturing Organizations: Some Observations and Results from a Pilot
464 Survey. *Brazilian Journal of Operations & Production Management*, 7(1), 141-162.
465

466 Khattak, J. K., Arslan, M., & Umair, M. (2011). SMEs' export problems in Pakistan.. *E3*
467 *Journal of Business Management and Economics*, 2(5), 192-199.
468

- Kohli, A. K., & Jaworski, B. J. (1990). Market orientation: The construct, research propositions and managerial implications. *Journal of Marketing*, 54(2), 1-18.
- Kotler, P. (1977). From sales obsession to marketing effectiveness. *Harvard Business Review*, 55, 67-75.
- Kumar, K., Subramanian, R., & Yauger, C. (1998). Examining the Market Orientation-Performance Relationship: A Context-specific Study. *Journal of Management*, 24(2), 201-233.
- Kumar, V., Jones, E., Venkatesan, R., & Leone, R. P. (2011). Is market orientation a source of sustainable competitive advantage or simply the cost of competing?. *Journal of Marketing*, 75(1), 16-30.
- Kureshi, N., Mann, R., Khan, M., & Qureshi, F. (2009). Quality management practices of SMEs in developing countries: a survey of manufacturing SMEs in Pakistan. *Journal of Quality and Technology Management*, 5(2), 63-89.
- Lafferty, B. A. & Hult, G. T. M. (2001). A synthesis of contemporary market orientation perspectives. *European Journal of Marketing*, 35(1/2), 92-109.
- Lee, C.Y. (2004). Perception and development of total quality management in small manufacturers: an exploratory study in China. *Journal of Small Business Management*, 42(1), 102-105.
- Levitt, T. (1960). Marketing myopia. *Harvard Business Review*, 38, 45-56.
- Lewis, W. G., Pun, K. F., & Lalla, T. R. M. (2006a). Exploring soft versus hard factors for TQM implementation in small and medium-sized enterprises. *International Journal Production Personnel Management*, 55(7), 539-592.
- Lewis, W. G., Pun, K.F., & Lalla, T.R.M., (2005). An AHP-based study of TQM benefits in ISO 901 certified SMEs in Trinidad and Tobago. *TQM Mag*, 17(6), 558-627.
- Makadok, R. (2001). Towards a synthesis of resource-based and dynamic capability views of rent creation. *Strategic Management Journal*, 22(5), 387-402.
- Mann, N. R. (1992). The Keys to Excellence: The Deming Philosophy (Kuala Lumpur, S. Abdul Majeed & Co.)
- McCabe, D., & Wilkinson, A. (1998). The rise and fall of TQM: The vision, meaning and operation of change. *Industrial Relations Journal*, 29, 18-29.
- McLartey, R. (1998). Case study: evidence of a strategic marketing paradigm in a growing SME. *Journal of Marketing Practice: Applied Marketing Science*, 4(4), 105-107.

- 512 McTeer, M. M., & Dale, B. G. (1994). Are the ISO 9000 series of quality management systems
513 standards of value to small companies? *European Journal of Purchasing and Supply*
514 *Management*, 1(4), 227-235.
- 515
- 516 Mohr-Jackson, I. (1998). Conceptualizing total quality orientation. *European Journal of*
517 *Marketing*, 32(½), 13-22.
- 518 Narver, J. C., & Slater, S. F. (1990). The effect of market orientation on business profitability.
519 *Journal of marketing*, 54(4), 20-35.
- 520
- 521 Narver, J.C., Slater, S. F., & MacLachlan, D. L. (2004). Responsive and proactive market
522 orientation and new product success. *Journal of Production and Innovation*
523 *Management*, 21(5), 334-347.
- 524
- 525 Nasution, H. N., Mavondo, F. T., Matanda, M. J., & Ndubisi, N. O. (2011).
526 Entrepreneurship: its relationship with market orientation and learning orientation
527 and as antecedents to innovation and customer value. *Industrial Marketing*
528 *Management*, 40(3), 336-345.
- 529 Newbert, S. L. (2007). Empirical research on the resource based view of the firm: An
530 assessment and suggestions for future research. *Strategic Management Journal*, 28(2),
531 121-146.
- 532 North, D., & Smallbone, D. (2000). The innovativeness and growth of rural SMEs during the
533 1990s. *Regional Studies*, 34(2), 145-157.
- 534
- 535 Nunnally, J. C. (1978). *Psychometric Theory* (2nd ed.). New York: McGraw Hill.
- 536 Oakland, J. S. (2004). *Quality Management*. London: Elsevier Butterworth-Heinemann
537 Publications.
- 538 Petroni, A. (2002). Critical factors of MRP implementation in small and medium-sized firms.
539 *International Journal of Operations & Production Management*, 22(3), 329-348.
- 540 Pfau, L. D. (1989). Total quality management gives companies a way to enhance position in
541 global market place. *Industrial Engineering*, 21(4), 17-21.
- 542
- 543 Pinho, J. C. (2008). TQM and performance in small medium enterprises: The mediating effect
544 of customer orientation and innovation. *International journal of quality & reliability*
545 *management*, 25(3), 256-275.
- 546
- 547 Porter, M. E. (1980). *Competitive strategy: Techniques for analyzing industries and*
548 *competitors*. New York: Free Press.
- 549 Powell, T.C. (1995). Total quality management as competitive advantage: A review and
550 empirical study. *Strategic Management Journal*, 16, 15-37.

551 Rahman, S. (2001). A comparative study of TQM practice and organizational performance
552 of SMEs with and without ISO 9000 certification. *International Journal of Quality &*
553 *Reliability Journal*, 18(1), 35-49.

554 Reed, R., Lemak, D. J., & Mero, N., P. (2000). Total Quality Management and sustainable
555 competitive advantage. *Journal of Quality Management*, 5(1), 5-26.

556 Ruekert, R.W. (1992). Developing a market orientation: an organizational strategy perspective.
557 *International Journal of Marketing*, 9, 225-245.

558 Ryan, C. C., Deane, R., & Ellington, N. P. (2001). Quality management training in small to
559 midsized manufacturing firms. *Quality Management Journal*, 8(2), 44-52.

560 Salegna, G., & Fazel, F. (1995). An integrative framework for developing and evaluating a
561 TQM implementation plan. *Quality Management Journal*, 3(1).

562 Samson, D., & Terziovski, M. (1999). The relationship between total quality management
563 practices and operational performance. *Journal of Operations Management*, 17(3), 393-
564 409.

565 Sashkin, M., & Kiser, K. J. (1993). *Putting total quality management to work*. San
566 Francisco: Berrett-Koehler.

567 Seth, D., & Tripathi, D. (2005). Relationship between TQM and TPM implementation factors
568 and business performance of manufacturing industry in an Indian context. *International*
569 *Journal of Quality & Reliability Management*, 22(3), 256-277.

570 Shapiro, B. P. (1988). What the hell is market orientation? *Harvard Business Review*, 66, 119-
571 125.

572 Shetty, Y. K. (1993). The quest for quality excellence: lessons from the Malcom Baldrige
573 National Quality Award. *SAM Advanced Management Journal*, 58(42), 34-40.

574
575 Singh, R., Garg, S., & Deshmukh, S.G. (2010). Strategy development by small scale industries in
576 India. *Industrial Management & Data Systems*, 110(7), 1073-1093.

577
578 Slater, S. F., & Narver, J. C. (1994). Does competitive environment moderate the market
579 orientation-performance relationship?. *The Journal of Marketing*, 46-55.

580
581 Slater, S. F., & Narver, J. C. (1995). Market orientation and the learning organization,
582 *Journal of marketing*, 59(3), 63-74.

583 SME Policy (2007).
584

- 585 Suliyanto, S., & Rahab, R. (2012). The role of market orientation and learning orientation in
586 improving innovativeness and performance of small and medium enterprises. *Asian*
587 *Social Science*, 8(1), 134.
- 588 Summers, D. C. S. (2006). *Quality*. New Jersey: Pearson Education Inc.
- 589
- 590 Sureshchandar, G. S., Rajendran, C., & Anantharaman, R. N. (2001). A conceptual model
591 for total quality management in service organizations. *Total quality management*, 12(3),
592 343-363.
- 593
- 594 Talha, M. (2004). Total quality management (TQM): An overview. *Bottom Line: Managing*
595 *Library Finances*, 17(1), 15-19.
- 596 Temtime, Z. T. (2003). The Moderating Impacts of Business Planning and Firm Size on Total
597 Quality Management Practices. *The TQM Magazine*, 15(1), 52-60.
- 598
- 599 Temtime, Z. T., & Solomon, G. H. (2002). Total Quality Management and the planning
600 behavior of SMEs in developing economies. *The TQM Magazine*, 14(3), 181-191.
- 601 Thiagaragan, T., Zairi, M., & Dale, B. G. (2001). A proposed model of TQM
602 implementation based on an empirical study of Malaysian industry. *International Journal*
603 *of Quality & Reliability Management*, 18(3), 289-306.
- 604 Udayasankar, K. (2008). Corporate social responsibility and firm size. *Journal of Business*
605 *Ethics*, 83(2), 167-175.
- 606 Wang, C. H., Chen, K. Y., & Chen, S. C. (2012). Total quality management, market
607 orientation and hotel performance: The moderating effects of external environmental
608 factors. *International Journal of Hospitality Management*, 31(1), 119-129.
- 609 Webster, F. E. (1988). Rediscovering the marketing concept. *Business Horizons*, 31, 29- 39.
- 610 Webster, F. E. (1994). *Market-driven management: using the new marketing concept to create*
611 *a customer-oriented company*. New York: John Wiley & Sons, Inc.
- 612 Wernerfelt, B. (1984). A resource-based view of the firm. *Strategic Management Journal*, 5,
613 171-180.
- 614 Yeung, C. L., & Chan, L.Y. (1998). Quality management system development: Some
615 implications from case studies. *Computers and Industrial Engineering*, 35.
- 616 Yong, J., & Wilkinson, A. (2002). The long and winding road: The evolution of quality
617 management. *Total Quality Management*, 13(1), 101-121.

618 Yusof, S. M., & Aspinwall, E. (1999) Critical success factors for total quality management
619 implementation in small and medium enterprises. *Total Quality Management*, 10(4/5),
620 803-809.

621 Yusuf, Y., Gunasekaran, A., & Dan, G. (2007). Implementation of TQM in China and
622 organizational performance: an empirical investigation. *Total Quality Management*,
623 18(5), 509-530.

624

625

626

627

628

629

630

631

632

633