



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<u>British Journal of Economics, Management & Trade</u>
Manuscript Number:	2014_BJEMT_12051
Title of the Manuscript:	IMPACTS OF JOB ROTATIONS AND EMPLOYEES' PERFORMANCES IN MANUFACTURING COMPANIES: NIGERIA
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Compulsory REVISION comments</u>	1. Nothing is written about Nigerian manufacturing companies. 2. What is the reason of adding some points like job enrichment and job enlargement? Needs to justify and show their relationship with job rotation. 3. Don't start any paragraph like <i>as many authors have asserted...</i> use reference.	1. I have now done so. 2. No particular reason and decided to use rotation in places of enlargement or enrichment. 3. Noted.
<u>Minor REVISION comments</u>	1. Need to develop the theory part by supporting more literature. 2. Add recent literature.	1. Have done so. 2. Done
<u>Optional/General comments</u>	1. Number of references should be increased.	Done