SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	**British Journal of Economics, Management & Trade**
Manuscript Number:	**2014_BJEMT_13290**
Title of the Manuscript:	**THE IMPACT OF INTERNATIONAL REMITTANCES ON THE NIGERIAN ECONOMY**
Type of the Article	**Original Research Article**

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments	**Article are written on an interesting subject, but for its publication the author should make changes and answer the following questions.** **1 The author argues that international remittances have a positive impact on the economy of Nigeria. Author should explain why from the influence of this factor becomes rich Nigeria, but, for example, Moldova, as was a poor country, a poor country so it remains. Could it be the result randomly?** **2. Character of curve income transfers in Nigeria has a bell-shaped, at the end of the study period there was a decrease of income. Could it be that the revenue in the future will fall so that the subject of the article will cease to be relevant?**	I commend reviewer for effort put to make the observations and comments in this work. Answer to questions 2 and 3: The trend of remittances in Nigeria is neither bell-shaped nor a reversed of the timeline but rather it is an upward trend of the timeline. (see figure).The questions came as a result of poor formatting of the curve.
Minor REVISION comments	**3. In Figure 3 the dependence of the total amount of remittances from the time is reversed the direction of the timeline, it clearly has the opposite direction.**	See feedback above
Optional/General comments	**4 The author must enter the Discussion section and in detail present their assessment of the results**	