



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	British Journal of Economics, Management & Trade
Manuscript Number:	2014_BJEMT_13290
Title of the Manuscript:	THE IMPACT OF INTERNATIONAL REMITTANCES ON THE NIGERIAN ECONOMY
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Abstract does not articulate the problem(s) before the analytical technique. A brief statement of the problem is required. What was the behaviour of the variable to that led to the adoption of the Autoregressive Distributed Lagged model. What is the meaning of Pesaran and Shin (1999) in the study? Please write your abstract in a more meaningful manner. You made assertions and gave statistics without citing authorities e.g The country receives about 65% of officially recorded remittance flow to the region and about 2% of global remittance flows (Source?) Your write up on remittances does not reveal much about Nigeria's experience, What do we know about remittances in Nigeria? Reading works by Chukwuone, Olowa, Odozi etc will be of assistance in this regard. On Economic growth, what do we know about economic growth in Nigeria? This must be carefully articulated such that a gap will be left for remittances to fill. Your literature review does not vividly reveal relationship between remittances and economic growth.. Apart from Jongwanich (2007) and two other authors you cited, other authors dwell on other areas such as poverty etc. A review of studies on remittances and Economic growth would have revealed the behaviour of various economic variable and ensure you are in control of your analysis.	We commend the reviewer for a good work and give the following feedback below: Pesaran and Shin are the authors that first used ARDL Model in their work "An Autoregressive Distributed Lag Modelling to Cointegration". Thus what we did is to adopt their model. We also want make it clear that this work is a macro study using time series analysis but not micro study. The works of Chukwuone and others as referred by the reviewer are either micro analytical study or survey based.



SDI Review Form 1.6

	Your discussion is not robust as it has no base. You were not able to provide information in your paper as to the state of the Nigeria economic both in the past and at the present times. It make your work to look like “copy and paste thing”	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	Your analytical model is hanging as most variables it meant to capture have not be properly discuss in introduction and literature review. For Instance, if the previous state of the economy is not known and discussed, how do you know the effect of remittances on the economy-whether positive or negative.	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	Many Authors have worked on Remittances in Nigeria, you need to read more to align your work with Nigeria’s experience and make relevant to readers. Your paper is a composite thing with many incongruently fitted together.	