



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<u>British Journal of Economics, Management & Trade</u>
Manuscript Number:	2014_BJEMT_14355
Title of the Manuscript:	Location of a Competitor and the Regional Innovation Activity of Industrial Systems in Transition Country – Poland's Case Study
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	: they use two variables location of nearest competitor and some 12 innovation attributes . The suggestion is that the table only present the significant relations, but there are no control variables Why would these four provinces be relevant? You have not digested the recent literature on location, competition and regional innovation systems Abstract: rather than creating clusters the challenge is to facilitate their development	There was used four independent variables and eighteen innovation attributes (dependent variables). The results shows only significant relations, without insignificant (suppose empty lines). All models were verified by some statistical test: t-students (independent variable), chi-square (model) and significant probability (model). At statistical point of view, there is no necessary to add another tests, I think. There was chosen four provinces located in Poland with different economic development level, different location and different connection to international markets, to show that despite of the differences determinants for innovation activity in Poland are similar. It was added one new reference, but in last years in foreign literature and in well-development countries prevails spatial proximity approach. This concept is stable in time and different for less-development countries. Abstract: added phrase "and facilitate their development", but we are actually on stage creating cluster in Poland rather than their development .



SDI Review Form 1.6

	What means in the horizontal grasp?? Logistic regression is just a tool if your dependent variable has a certain distribution, but it would allow you to also consider other variables from your survey Maybe spatial proximity is less important in times of internet and improved communication	“Horizontal grasp” was changed on “horizontal (system) approach. Problem of system survey is to collect many questionnaires. So, questions should be easy to answer. That’s why all variables (in and out) had a binary compositions – only four possibilities: (0;0), (1;0), (0;1), (1;1). Models with many independent variables was unable to achieved from this point of view – problem of autocorrelation independent variables. I agree. This is a chance for less-development countries for dynamic innovation processes. It was added such reason in text.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	The conclusion is that distance does not work in the same way, but	(...) in opposite way.
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		