



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<u>British Journal of Economics, Management & Trade</u>
Manuscript Number:	2014_BJEMT_14856
Title of the Manuscript:	AN EMPIRICAL INVESTIGATION OF THE CONTRIBUTIONS OF AGRICULTURE, PETROLEUM SECTORS AND HUMAN CAPITAL DEVELOPMENT TO THE ECONOMIC GROWTH IN NIGERIA, 1970-2012
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Blue parts are to be deleted and red parts show the correct versions of the sentences. Pink parts are the referee's comments and suggestions. 1-The author should avoid using too long sentences since the meaning in such sentences gets complicated. 2-There are some incomplete sentences throughout the complete article. Such incomplete sentences are written in pink and they should be corrected. 3- Some paragraphs should not be the continuation of the previous paragraphs. They should be a new paragraph. 4- Sometimes wrong words and punctuation marks are used. 5- Another frequent problem is the tense agreement. Such sentences should be corrected.	The corrections have been made as recommended and sent as an attachment. We so much appreciate the efforts of the reviewers in going through this work.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	The article is well written in terms of explaining the main problems in Nigerian economy. I enjoyed reading it.	We appreciate the efforts put in the review of this paper and hope you continue to encourage us to publish with you. Thank you.