



SDI Review Form 1.6



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	British Journal of Economics, Management & Trade
Manuscript Number:	2014_BJEMT_15132
Title of the Manuscript:	WORKING CAPITAL MANAGEMENT AND THE PERFORMANCE OF SELECT DEPOSIT MONEY BANKS IN NIGERIA
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	The paper is very interesting and it has accurate and very good analysis with a very good empirical study. The paper will be very interesting, especially for the readers of the Journal. Its methodology and theoretical contribution to the body of knowledge is good and meticulous.	I appreciate the reviewer's comment.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	The literature review lists those related prior studies with great individual detail, yet it fails to synthesize them to clearly motivate the current study. It would be recommended to add further details or comment in the final paragraph to summarize the results achieved.	The summary of the literature review has been added (see lines190-192).
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		