



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	British Journal of Economics, Management & Trade
Manuscript Number:	2014_BJEMT_15580
Title of the Manuscript:	the impact of Corporate GOVERNANCE ON DERIVATIVES usage – Emperical Evidence from african non-financial firms
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Compulsory REVISION comments	The literature review should be detailed problem-oriented analyses. Accordingly, the literature serves for the research hypotheses.	The literature review session has been well written to serve the intended purpose
Minor REVISION comments	The methodology should be specified.	We believe there is sufficient explanation on the methodology in the methodology section of the work. We use. We used the 1998 Wharton Survey Methodology on Derivatives usage.
Optional/General comments		