SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	**British Journal of Economics, Management & Trade**
Manuscript Number:	**2014_BJEMT_15580**
Title of the Manuscript:	**the impact of Corporate GOVERNANCE ON DERIVATIVES usage – Emperical Evidence from african non-financial firms**
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty'**, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments	**THE TOPIC IS UNIQUE AND WORTHY OF RESEARCHING. THE TEXT IS WELL ARRANGE AND LOGIAL**	Thanks for your comment
Minor REVISION comments	THE CONCLUSION AND SUMMARY SHOULD BE MORE TECHICAL.	We wanted a conclusion that is simple, straightforward and convincing and would want to avoid too much technicalities. Aside the conclusion meets up with standard academic and scholarly practice.
Optional/General comments	PLEASE, DO SOME SPELLING AND GRAMMATICAL CHECK CAREFULLY	Thanks. I will do spelling checks