



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<u>British Journal of Economics, Management & Trade</u>
Manuscript Number:	2015_BJEMT_16253
Title of the Manuscript:	Do Free Trade Agreements (FTAs) really Increase Vietnam's Foreign Trade and inward Foreign Direct Investment (FDI)?
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	For this article, it is also important to know whether the obtained regression equation is common or characterize only Vietnam in this period of its development	Yes of course! It is suitable for the case of Vietnam. And, the Gravity is an useful model in examining the impacts of FTAs on FDI and trade flows between member countries. Not only for Vietnam in this period but also can other cases apply this economic model e.g. NAFTA, MECOSUR, or EUFTA.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	Reference list is too large, it is necessary to cut them of three times	The authors think it will be better if we have large references in the reference list. And, it belongs to the citation and materials used to conduct this research.
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	The author should change the structure of the article to the standard version, entering, for example, section "Discussion"	We added in the revised paper. Thanks!