



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<u>British Journal of Economics, Management & Trade</u>
Manuscript Number:	2015_BJEMT_16562
Title of the Manuscript:	Big Events and the Structural Changes of Foreign Trade in Guangdong Province
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	The paper is properly constituted with appropriate objective, and it has good empirical framework. However, I noticed following shortcomings which are suggested to be made up. First, the title should be reformatted as it doesn't provide a clear information about the country. Guangdong province is known in China and it's surroundings but internationally it will be an unknown place if country's name is not mentioned. The appropriate title may be "Big Events and the Structural Changes of Foreign Trade in Guangdong Province of China". Second, the authors have not explained the relevant theoretical background. Although there is contradiction between Classical and Keynesian school of thoughts about the structural change and trade, therefore, it is desirable to explain relevant theoretical background of the research theme. Please clarify the ethical issues if any	About the first point, we agreed and have made a revision. About the second point, this paper uses LP model and LP expand model and 9000 computing replications of Monte Carlo. In the second part (LITERATURE REVIEW), we have mentioned the former literatures and little shortcomings or inapplicability to our paper of their theory, which can be regarded as the relevant theoretical background, and later in the third part we have explained why we use LP model "Lumsdaine and Papell (1997) can solve the problem of the unknown breakpoints in advance, so we can apply the LP model test structural changes of time sequences of Guangdong's foreign trade". Overall, our paper expands the former relevant theory, and we have made an innovation indeed.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	References are not properly arranged as some have listed authors' full names while others follow APA style (last name of authors with initials).	We re-arranged references following APA style (last name of authors with initials).



SDI Review Form 1.6

<u>Optional/General</u> comments	NA	