



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<u>British Journal of Economics, Management & Trade</u>
Manuscript Number:	2015_BJEMT_17124
Title of the Manuscript:	The Relationship between Project Manager Skills and Leadership Skills in the Workplace
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	Line 44 – (Voon & et al. 2011) must be (Voon, M.L., Lo, Ngui, K.S. and Ayob, N.B., 2011) because it is the first time using this reference; Line 56 – Voon & et al. (2011) must be Voon et al. (2011); Lines 60 – 61 - Voon & et al. (2011) must be Voon et al. (2011); Line 66 – (Ajala & Ibadan, 2012) is not one of the references at the end of the article; Line 72 - Laschinger & et. al., (2014) must be Laschinger, Read, Wilk, and Finegan (2014) because it is the first time using this reference; Line 91 - Laschinger & et. al., (2014) must be Laschinger et al., (2014); Line 95 - Laschinger & et. al., (2014) must be Laschinger et al., (2014); Line 107 – Voon & et al. (2011) must be Voon et al. (2011); Line 119 – Boedker & et. al., (2011) must be Boedker, Vidgen, Meagher, Cogin, Mouritsen, and Runnalls (2011) because it is the first time using this reference; Line 122 – (Boedker & et. al., 2011) must be Boedker et. al., (2011) Line 129 – (Boedker & et. al., 2011) must be Boedker et. al., (2011); Line 136 – Morgeson & et al. (2010) must be Morgeson, DeRue, and Karam (2010) because it is the first time using this reference; Line 155 - Morgeson & et al. (2010) must be Morgeson et	References Style has been revised and changed.



SDI Review Form 1.6

	al. (2010); Line 156 – Must be: According to Morgeson et al. (2010); Line 181 – Julius & et al., 2011, must be Julius, Lines 181 and 185 – Repeated use of “according”; Line 200 – Hotepo & et al. (2010) must be Hotepo, Asokere, Abdul-Azeez and Ajemunigbohun (2010) because it is the first time using this reference; Line 208 - Laschinger & et. al., (2014) must be Laschinger et al., (2014); Line 212 - Laschinger & et. al., (2014) must be Laschinger et al., (2014); Line 208 - Hotepo & et al. (2010) must be Hotepo et al. (2010); Lines 212, 216, 218, 220, 223 - Similar to the previous corrections. Line 244 – (Yuan & et al., n.d.) must be (Yuan, Aliicia, Ern, Wai, and Chandran, n.d.) because it is the first time using this reference;	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	Correction of final references according to the above comments.	Final references have been revised and corrected
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	The rationale of the article is poorly founded and maintains in little referenced and almost undetectable authors such as Julius et al. (2011).	Rational/aim/objective of the article have been clarified and stated in more explicit.