



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<u>British Journal of Economics, Management & Trade</u>
Manuscript Number:	2015_BJEMT_17759
Title of the Manuscript:	Relationship between information technology and organizational entrepreneurship, and organizational agility in Esfahan province general directorate of youth and sport
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	1) In the line 93 never we write method but Methodology. 2) I didn't see any literature review. 3) The author mentions that he chose randomly the sample. With which way and according to which methodology. 4) All these statistical tests, as Kolmogorov, Pearson, etc, must be explained more. 5) I believe that methodology is not motivated by theory.	a) Method changes to Methodology in line 93. b) Literature review was added in lines 20, 21 & 22. c) All statistical testes explain more in methodology section. All of modifications showed with yellow colour in paper.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		