



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	British Journal of Economics, Management & Trade
Manuscript Number:	2015_BJEMT_17759
Title of the Manuscript:	Relationship between information technology and organizational entrepreneurship, and organizational agility in Esfahan province general directorate of youth and sport
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	a) Source of data The authors describe the valid instruments applied to respondents for collecting data. Therefore, they could explore the descriptive statistics on the gathered data in order to explain certain characteristics of the paper, e.g., how, when and whom the questionnaire were applied to. These information could allow other researchers replicate the study and assess the validity of sources. b) Research question and method The study analysed the correlation among IT, entrepreneur and agility. The reviewed literature in the introduction seems to point out that there would be an association between them, with entrepreneurship and agility been driven by IT. This fact shows that the paper has a hypothesis in the same sense.	a) The methodology section was completed according to viewpoint of reviewer. b) Tables 4, 5, 6 & 7 show correlation among variables that all of coefficients are significant and this subject confirms literature review. c) Conclusion section includes conversation about results, and also comparison between calculated results with the results of similar researches. d) Introduction section was designed according to description of variables and correlation among them. Also finally converse about using of these variables (information technology, organizational entrepreneurship, and organizational agility) in sport and sport organization. All of modifications showed with green colour in paper.



SDI Review Form 1.6

	However, the methods applied to the data do not allow for knowing about the sense of correlation among the variables. Following the literature review, the tables 2, 3 and 5 have IT as independent variable and found there are the hypothesised correlation but the correlation doesn't show the causality relation between variables. Therefore, the authors could assess the possibility of improving the applied methods (with econometrics of cross section and causality tests) in order to show the eventual association among the variables. c) Discussion and conclusion Although the concluding topic had discussed the results and compared them to the reviewed literature, it is recommendable a specific topic to do so. The authors could assess the possibility of including the hypothesis analysis in the results topic and let the conclusions to present the main implications of the paper. d) Theoretical framework The introduction has made a presentation of the literature surrounding the main concepts of the paper.	
--	--	--



SDI Review Form 1.6

	It is also recommendable to declare which theory predicts the association among those concepts and what is its hypothesis. This procedure could help to clarify if information technology whether is dominating in this scenario.	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		