



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<u>British Journal of Economics, Management & Trade</u>
Manuscript Number:	2015_BJEMT_17766
Title of the Manuscript:	Forecasting Refined Petroleum Products Prices in Ghana
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	On data section: second and third word on the first sentence need be separated. Section 3 and 4 should be merged because both are talking about methodology adopted. Both should take a common subheading 'methodology' with two subdivisions: data 'collection and processing' and 'forecasting techniques'. Section 4 should be results and discussion. On graphs and tables: All graphs and tables should be properly captioned (check the author's guide). The author should present his work in reported speech instead of personalising task (the use of 'I' or 'We' should be suppress)-check page 13. On result: Fig 3-6 show that only ANN has a linear trend while there is element of non-linearity on other methods, can the author(s) explain what is responsible for this trend? What is the error trend of prediction, is the error decreasing or increasing with increase in epoch number? On conclusion: The sentence that ends in line 11 should be redraft, word 'coherent' is not the right word to use.	Second and third word separated. The section has been merged accordingly and the necessary correction adjusted as in section 3, 3.1 and 3.2. The use of 'I' and 'We' has been suppressed in the paper totally. The error trend for the ANN prediction was decreasing with increasing in epoch number. The word coherently has been changed to consistently.



SDI Review Form 1.6

<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	The author should read the manuscript again	<i>The manuscript has been re-read.</i>