



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<u>British Journal of Economics, Management & Trade</u>
Manuscript Number:	2015_BJEMT_17916
Title of the Manuscript:	The Investment Process in the Mexican Industry: The Case of Mexican Chemical Petrochemical Complex
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	1-In the introduction part, references were in Spanish In which reviewer could not trace accuracy. 2-Research misses JEL of key words. 3-Research misses literature of fundamental concepts. 4- Research main problem and hypothesises are missing. 5-Researcher misses to include previous researches linked to this research.	1. The research has been done mainly in Spanish because of two reasons: first, the analysis focuses in the petrochemical complex in Mexico, and secondly, the methodology related to production linkages and oligopolistic markets was develop by a group of Latin-American researchers. 2. The format do not ask for JEL code, any way we have added now 3. Sorry! We do not understand you. Could you be more specific? For us a fundamental concept is "Investment" and it is defined in lines 61-63. Should we rewrite something else about the concept or add something else? 4. The main problem, which in fact constitutes the objective of the paper is express in line 48. We want to test Q Model at the petrochemical Mexican industry. The statistical results satisfied the Bo version of the model. 5. The literature review has tried to include previous researches of investment models and the introduction has referred the research about specifically the petrochemical Mexican industry where the methodology of productive linkages and oligopolistic markets has been applied. Do



SDI Review Form 1.6

		you want us to go deeper? In which side? Investment models or Mexican petrochemical industry?
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	1-Observation panels were not documented.	1. We will add the panels now.
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	1-Researcher could have listed his/her recommendations	