



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<u>British Journal of Economics, Management & Trade</u>
Manuscript Number:	2015_BJEMT_18019
Title of the Manuscript:	BANK CORPORATE LENDING: A BUBBLE IN PROGRESS AND SUGGESTED REMEDIES*
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	The subject is very interesting but superficially addressed in some points. The article is without scientific depth. The author doesn't identify a goal or a thesis to prove but only to a factual. The paper has no basis of literature and analysis but it has a logical continuity. It is recommended to order the structure of the paper and included in the text the exhibits placed at the end of paper. thical issue: Yes, there are. The paper focus about constraints of loans that, if they are better understood, could improve the connection between bank and enterprise with positive implications on financing of worthy firms, to the benefits of all stakeholders.	I totally disagree. Many literature citations are provided. The article has substantial scientific depth according to qualified readers at an accounting/finance conference. Grammatical errors now corrected (although they were not in the original file).
Minor REVISION comments	The article must be completely revised in view of order and structure of paper. There are several typing mistakes in the article that make it tricky to read.	
Optional/General comments		