



SDI FINAL EVALUATION FORM 1.1

PART 1:

| | |
|--------------------------|---|
| Journal Name: | British Journal of Economics, Management & Trade |
| Manuscript Number: | 2014_BJEMT_15107 |
| Title of the Manuscript: | Creation of Microfinance Banks in Nigeria:-What is their Main Object? |
| Type Of Article: | Review Article |

PART 2:

| FINAL EVALUATOR'S comments on revised paper (if any) | Authors' response to final evaluator's comments |
|--|---|
| <p>I think that many of the changes to the final manuscript have provided important elements for the proposed analysis. However, I suggest that the following changes are made: 1) The author should better organize the sequence in which they have introduced some new ideas and references. 2) The author should improve substantially the formal aspect of the important references for the development of ideas and arguments. 3) I insist that the introductory section is extremely long. Moreover, it should be continuous and not contain subsections. I think it is possible to reduce it in the terms suggested in the previous review.</p> <p>I inserted some comments and changes to the final manuscript submitted (in word format), using the "track changes" mode. Some call attention to formal aspects while others suggest substantive changes.</p> | <p>(1) The presentation has been reorganised (see 1.Revised Paper_BJEMT_15107_v2 attached) (2) Compliance has been effected. (3) We have complied in 1.Revised Paper_BJEMT_15107_v2</p> <p>The changes have been effected as directed</p> |