



SDI FINAL EVALUATION FORM 1.1

PART 1:

Journal Name:	British Journal of Economics, Management & Trade
Manuscript Number:	2015_BJEMT_18061
Title of the Manuscript:	Does Banking Market Power Matter on Financial (In)Stability? Evidence from the Banking Industry MENA Region

PART 2:

FINAL EVALUATOR'S comments on revised paper (if any)	Authors' response to final evaluator's comments
Authors poorly responded to the comments and suggestions of the reviewer. If they do not agree to these remarks, and then he had to write. The authors did not respond to the following questions, without receiving a response to the question of publication of this article should not be coming back. <i>«Can I rely on the data obtained from banks, since it is known that they may have double-entry bookkeeping?»</i> <i>«Author should be streamlined of the article, as after an extensive literature review were followed by the very concise calculations»</i>	- <i>«Yes, we can rely on data obtained from banks even they may have double-entry bookkeeping, since we used data from banks' balance sheet and data selected are either from the right or the left side. They are either from assets side or liabilities side».</i> - <i>Sure, authors should be streamlined of the article. The literature review is extensive as there is an abundant number of papers written about this subject and many theoretical and empirical contributions were mentioned in that section to show how important is the interest of researchers to the subject we deal with in our article.</i> <i>In the estimates and calculations part, we were concise that is because we are looking forward to give answers to the question Does banking market power matter on financial (in)stability of MENA banking industry? As literature review deals with divers issues related to the subject, and our empirical section is concerned in one specific issue, this later seams concise, although we used three different techniques to give out estimates which are OLS, GLS fixed effects and GLS random effects.</i>