



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<u>British Journal of Economics, Management & Trade</u>
Manuscript Number:	2015_BJEMT_17112
Title of the Manuscript:	Globalization, FDI and the Links with Economic Growth: An Empirical Investigation for MENA Countries
Type of the Article	Original research paper

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	The author should indicate in what way, on what data based its analysis, that is, clearly identify the source. In addition, it must explicitly exclude the trivial results, as, for example, it concluded that globalization and direct investment affect economic development.	I've added more information about the data used in the empirical study and sources. The short sentences written in the abstract reflect the main findings, but details exist in the section 4, so the abstract should include only the key findings.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	Article must be built in accordance with accepted standards, because now the presentation is chaotic.	I've made the paper in a uniform style according to the BJEMT style.
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	The author needs to cut a literature review and, accordingly, references, at least in three times	I can't cut parts of the literature review, because it will be insufficient to address this topic.