



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	British Journal of Economics, Management & Trade
Manuscript Number:	2015_BJEMT_17413
Title of the Manuscript:	Gender and Small business Growth Orientations: Theory, Evidence and Implications for Ghana
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	This is a good and interesting paper and I would like to see it published. However, it does need a little attention before that can happen. First, it is important to give some description of the cultural situation in Ghana with respect to what kinds of activities women can do, where they can work and what is considered suitable behaviour outside of the house. Studies of female entrepreneurialism around the world always benefit from an accurate exposition of the situation women face in public life. Second, the literature review uses several different theoretical positions, including two varieties of feminism, but does not address these in the discussion of findings. Third, the methodology section should be improved by explaining in more detail how questionnaire development took place, which languages were used, how the sample was selected and how the possibility of non-response bias was addressed. A comparison between the sample obtained and the population overall should also be provided.	Have done that. Good for that insight Done where necessary Included now
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		