



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<u>British Journal of Economics, Management & Trade</u>
Manuscript Number:	2015_BJEMT_18038
Title of the Manuscript:	EXTREME POVERTY AND THE NEW DEVELOPMENT BANK OF BRICS
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Line 29 – Too many key words. This must be reduced to at most 5 Referencing is poor and may be a subject of plagiarism	Key words alphabetized and reduced as suggested. Additional references added all references corrected as suggested.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	Lines 36, 51, 95, 152, 214, 234, 293, 304 – the references should go to the reference section	This has been done. Please note, the paper has been revised and line numbers are not the same.
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	There are inadequate and poor referencing. Most part of the paper are not referenced and the authors must take a second look at them. The referencing of the websites must include the date assessed, the authors and the year. There is also no clear methodology adopted by the paper. The authors need to make this too clear. The paper in general is a good paper but the authors must pay attention to the issue of references and resubmit.	Referencing issue has been taken care of. This is not a quantitative paper. The purpose of the paper is stated in the Introduction part.