



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<u>British Journal of Economics, Management & Trade</u>
Manuscript Number:	2015_BJEMT_18122
Title of the Manuscript:	WHISTLE BLOWING: SAFEGUARD OR CAREER WRECKER?
Type of the Article	Policy Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	As per attached	This reviewer is very thorough and his suggestions have been accommodated. However, his citation requirements seem to go beyond the bounds of what is necessary. He seems to ignore the fact that the following generally need no citations: • Statements of fact that are common knowledge, • Author's opinions • Author's predictions, speculations or wishful thinking Repetitive citations within same sentence make for tedious writing and reading and may even be flagged by other reviewers who will be re-reviewing the revised paper. In spite of my misgivings, I have tried to do all that he recommended.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		