



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<u>British Journal of Economics, Management & Trade</u>
Manuscript Number:	2015_BJEMT_18489
Title of the Manuscript:	The Effect of Globalization on Selected Sectors of the Nigerian Economy: Agriculture, Manufacturing and International Trade
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	The authors presented a very interesting article in which, however, was not without its drawbacks. The authors used the equations derived by other researchers, perhaps for other conditions, equations and validity are not checked. In addition, they should be more detailed into obtain baseline data.	Yes, the models draw their strength from the macroeconomic models of the Nigeria (which takes into account the peculiar nature of the Nigerian economy) put forward by the authors in the underlying reference. However, the version used in the paper is an augmented version of the models from these authors in order to capture the impact of globalization on the selected sectors. In other words, they were modified in the light of the present study. Efforts have been made to explain the sources of the baseline data used in the study as well as their measurement were applicable.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	Article need to check for errors (for example, in the line 104 words are written together) and for the correctness of the English language.	Done
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	Additionally, we note that the article should be reduced to the standard form by Introduction "Discussion", and collect of all the literary references in one section.	Thanks