SCIENCEDOMAIN *international*
www.sciencedomain.org

SDI FINAL EVALUATION FORM 1.1

PART 1:

Journal Name:	**British Journal of Economics, Management & Trade**
Manuscript Number:	**2015_BJEMT_18489**
Title of the Manuscript:	**The Effect of Globalization on Selected Sectors of the Nigerian Economy: Agriculture, Manufacturing and International Trade**
Type of the Article	**Original Research Article**

PART 2:

FINAL EVALUATOR'S comments on revised paper (if any)	**Authors' response to final evaluator's comments**
The authors performed all the recommendations of the reviewer, except one. Namely, in the review was written by "Additionally, we note that the article should be reduced to the standard form by Introduction" Discussion ", and collect of all the literary references in one section". The authors wrote "Thanks", but none of proposed in this paragraph did not, did not change the structure of the article and the section "Discussion" is not entered.	**Thank you for your comments. It is true that we wrote 'thanks' in our response to this comment by the reviewer. First, we did that because we noticed it was an optional/general comment and not a CUMPOLSORY comment. More so, the comment was not and is not quite clear to us. We have sent a message to seek for clarification, although we are yet to get a response by the time of filling this final report.** **However, after a further consideration of the reviewer's comment, we have decided to ascribe it to mean that we should introduce a section titled "*Discussion"* and "*include all the references in one section"*. If that is what it implied, we have added the term "AND DISCUSSION" to the title of section 5 where the empirical results are presented and discussed. Also, all the references in the work have been provided at the end of the article.**